



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/975,985	10/15/2001	Kim B. Roberts	9-13528-152us	9596	
75	7590 09/20/2005			EXAMINER	
Ogilvy Renault			CURS, NATHAN M		
	Suite 1600 1981 McGill College Avenue			PAPER NUMBER	
Montreal, QC H3A 2Y3			2633		
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005	DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/975,985	ROBERTS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nathan Curs	2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
Period for Reply	/ 10 OFT TO EVOIDE - MONTH	(O) OD THIDTY (OO) DAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	<u>ine 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-24 and 26-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
) Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,8-14,17,19-24,26-28,30-34 and 37</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>6,7,15,16,18,29,35,36 and 38</u> is/are o 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	•					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 October 2001</u> is/are:						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
,						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	* **					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	;a.				
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>30 December 2004</u> .	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 12-4, 17, 19-21, 24, 26-28, 30, 32-34 and 37 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bergano et al. ("Bergano") (US Patent Application
 Publication No. 2002/0149823).

Regarding claim 1, Bergano discloses a method of measuring a polarization dependent loss/gain (PDL) in an optical communications system including a plurality of optical components, the method comprising: receiving an optical signal at a selected detection point of the optical communications system (figs. 4 and 5 and paragraphs 0035-0040 and 0044), the optical signal having been launched into the optical communications system with a predetermined initial polarization state (figs. 1 and 2 and paragraphs 0026 and 0043); detecting a polarization state of the signal (paragraphs 0026, 0040 and 0042, where detecting the phase modulation of the received signal indicates detecting the change in the polarization state of the signal from it's initial state); and evaluating the PDL using the predetermined initial polarization state and the detected polarization state (paragraphs 0043, 0044 and 0046).

Application/Control Number: 09/975,985

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 3, Bergano discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the optical signal comprises any one of: a data signal; a test signal; and an Amplified Spontaneous Emission (ASE) signal (paragraphs 0025 and 0029)

Regarding claim 4, Bergano discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the predetermined initial polarization state is substantially time-invariant (paragraph 0043).

Regarding claim 5, Bergano disclose a method as claimed in claim 4, wherein the predetermined initial polarization state comprises a degree of polarization of the optical signal launched into the optical transmission system (paragraph 0026 and 0043, where a predefined "state of polarization" inherently has a "degree of polarization").

Regarding claim 8, Bergano discloses a method as claimed in claim 4, wherein the predetermined initial polarization state comprises respective known initial power levels of orthogonally polarized signal components multiplexed into the optical signal (paragraphs 0026 and 0043).

Regarding claim 12, Bergano discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the predetermined initial polarization state comprises a predetermined variation of a polarization vector of the optical signal (paragraph 0026).

Regarding claim 13, Bergano discloses a method as claimed in claim 12, wherein the predetermined variation of the polarization vector comprises a rotation of the polarization vector in accordance with a predetermined dither pattern (paragraph 0026).

Regarding claim 14, Bergano discloses a method as claimed in claim 13, wherein the predetermined dither pattern comprises either one or both of: a step-wise rotation of the polarization vector between orthogonal directions; and a small-scale perturbation of a polarization angle of the polarization vector (paragraph 0026).

Application/Control Number: 09/975,985

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 17, Bergano discloses a method as claimed in claim 12, wherein the predetermined variation of the polarization vector comprises variation of respective power levels of orthogonally polarized signal components multiplexed into the optical signal, in accordance with respective orthogonal dither patterns (paragraph 0026).

Regarding claim 19, Bergano discloses a system for measuring a polarization dependent loss/gain (PDL) in an optical communications system including a plurality of cascaded optical components, the system comprising: a transmitter adapted to launch an optical signal having a predetermined initial polarization state into the optical communications system (figs. 1 and 2 and paragraphs 0026 and 0043); a polarization state detector adapted to detect a polarization state of the signal at a selected detection point (paragraphs 0026, 0040 and 0042, where detecting the phase modulation of the received signal indicates detecting the change in the polarization state of the signal from it's initial state); and a processor adapted to evaluate the PDL using the predetermined initial polarization state and the detected polarization state (paragraphs 0043, 0044 and 0046).

Regarding claim 20, Bergano discloses a system as claimed in claim 19, wherein the transmitter comprises a polarization rotator adapted to selectively rotate a polarization vector of the optical signal (paragraph 0026).

Regarding claim 21, Bergano discloses a system as claimed in claim 19, wherein the transmitter comprises a controller adapted to selectively vary respective power levels of orthogonal signal components multiplexed into the optical signal, in accordance with respective orthogonal dither patterns (paragraph 0026).

Regarding claim 24, Bergano discloses an apparatus for measuring a polarization dependent loss/gain (PDL) in an optical communications system including a plurality of optical components, the network element comprising: a receiver adapted to receive an optical signal at

a selected detection point of the optical communications system (figs. 4 and 5 and paragraphs 0035-0040 and 0044), the optical signal having been launched into the optical communications system with a predetermined initial polarization state (figs. 1 and 2 and paragraphs 0026 and 0043); a polarization state detector adapted to detect a polarization state of the signal (paragraphs 0026, 0040 and 0042, where detecting the phase modulation of the received signal indicates detecting the change in the polarization state of the signal from it's initial state); and a processor adapted to evaluate the PDL using the predetermined initial polarization state and the detected polarization state (paragraphs 0043, 0044 and 0046).

Regarding claim 26, Bergano discloses a network element as claimed in claim 24, wherein the optical signal comprises any one of: a data signal; a test signal; and an Amplified Spontaneous Emission (ASE) signal (paragraphs 0025 and 0029).

Regarding claim 27, Bergano discloses a network element as claimed in claim 24, wherein the predetermined initial polarization state is substantially time-invariant (paragraph 0043).

Regarding claim 28, Bergano discloses a network element as claimed in claim 27, wherein the predetermined initial polarization state comprises a degree of polarization of the optical signal launched into the optical transmission system (paragraph 0026 and 0043, where a predefined "state of polarization" inherently has a "degree of polarization").

Regarding claim 30, Bergano discloses a network element as claimed in claim 27, wherein the predetermined initial polarization state comprises respective known initial power levels of orthogonally polarized signal components multiplexed into the optical signal (paragraphs 0026 and 0043).

Regarding claim 32, Bergano discloses a network element as claimed in claim 24, wherein the predetermined initial polarization state comprises a predetermined variation of a polarization vector of the optical signal (paragraph 0026).

Regarding claim 33, Bergano discloses a network element as claimed in claim 32, wherein the predetermined variation of the polarization vector comprises a rotation of the polarization vector in accordance with a predetermined dither pattern (paragraph 0026).

Regarding claim 34, Bergano discloses a network element as claimed in claim 33, wherein the predetermined dither pattern comprises either one or both of: a step-wise rotation of the polarization vector between orthogonal directions; and a small-scale perturbation of a polarization angle of the polarization vector (paragraph 0026).

Regarding claim 37, Bergano discloses a network element as claimed in claim 32, wherein the predetermined variation of the polarization vector comprises variation of respective power levels of orthogonally polarized signal components multiplexed into the optical signal, in accordance with respective orthogonal dither patterns (paragraph 0026).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergano et al. ("Bergano") (US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0149823) in view of Marro et al. ("Marro") (US Patent Application Publication No. 2001/052973).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 9, Bergano discloses a method as claimed in claim 8, but does not disclose that the step of detecting the polarization state of the signal comprises a step of detecting respective power levels of each of the orthogonally polarized signal components. However, Marro discloses that the power of each of the two orthogonal polarization modes of a signal define the state of polarization (paragraph 0004). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to determine the detected polarization state by determining the power levels of the two orthogonal polarization modes of the received signal in the receiver of Bergano, since Marro teaches that this is a conventional way to acquire the polarization state (the detected polarization state to be compared to the initial polarization state in determining PDL).

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergano et al. ("Bergano") (US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0149823) in view of Marro et al. ("Marro") (US Patent Application Publication No. 2001/052973), as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Applicant's admitted prior art (specification, paragraphs 0036 and 0038).

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Bergano and Marro discloses a method as claimed in claim 9, but does not disclose the detector detecting the respective power levels comprising: de-multiplexing each of the orthogonally polarized signal components from the optical signal; and measuring respective eye openings of each of the de-multiplexed signal components. However, the applicant discloses that polarization de-multiplexing the orthogonal signal components of a received signal and measuring the eye openings of each component is conventional for determining the polarization state (specification, paragraph 0038). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the eye openings of the demultiplexed orthogonal signal components could be measured to determine

Application/Control Number: 09/975,985

Art Unit: 2633

the levels of the orthogonal signal components that define the detected polarization state, since this is a conventional measurement technique, as disclosed by the Applicant.

6. Claims 11, 22, 23 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over over Bergano et al. ("Bergano") (US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0149823) in view of Applicant's admitted prior art (specification, paragraphs 0036 and 0038).

Regarding claim 11, Bergano discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, and discloses determining the detected polarization state for comparison to the initial polarization state to evaluate PDL, but does not explicitly disclose a step of calculating a vector difference between the detected polarization state and the initial polarization state. However, the Applicant discloses that representing a polarization state as a vector quantity, based on the levels of the orthogonally polarized signal components, is conventional (specification, paragraph 0036). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to represent the disclosed polarization states of Bergano as a vectors, and thus comparing polarization states by calculating a vector difference, since vector representations of polarization states is conventional, as disclosed by the Applicant.

Regarding claim 22, Bergano discloses a system as claimed in claim 19, but does not disclose that the detector comprises: a beam splitter adapted to split the optical signal into respective orthogonally polarized beams; and means for detecting respective power levels of each of the orthogonally polarized beams. However, the applicant discloses that beam splitting the orthogonal signal components of a received signal and measuring the power of each component is conventional for determining the polarization state (specification, paragraph 0036). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to split orthogonal signal components to determine the power levels of the orthogonal signal

Art Unit: 2633

components that define the detected polarization state, since this is a conventional measurement technique, as disclosed by the Applicant.

Regarding claims 23 and 31, Bergano discloses a system and network element as claimed in claims 19 and 30, respectively, but does not disclose the detector detecting the respective power levels comprising: de-multiplexing each of the orthogonally polarized signal components from the optical signal; and measuring respective eye openings of each of the demultiplexed signal components. However, the applicant discloses that polarization demultiplexing the orthogonal signal components of a received signal and measuring the eye openings of each component is conventional for determining the polarization state (specification, paragraph 0038). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the eye openings of the demultiplexed orthogonal signal components could be measured to determine the levels of the orthogonal signal components that define the detected polarization state, since this is a conventional measurement technique, as disclosed by the Applicant.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6, 7, 15, 16, 18, 29, 35, 36 and 38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 19, 24 and depending claims have been considered, and the examiner did not find the applicant's arguments persuasive with respect to claims 1, 19, 24, regarding the initial polarization state of Rao et al., and regarding signal fade

Application/Control Number: 09/975,985 Page 10

Art Unit: 2633

not reading on "a polarization dependent loss". However, Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 19, 24 and are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The applicant's amendment of the limitation of, e.g. claim 1, from "polarization dependent effect (PDE)" to "polarization dependent loss/gain (PDL)" necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection. The limitation "a polarization dependent loss" is broader than "polarization dependent loss/gain (PDL)", the former being interpreted as any loss having any dependence on polarization, the latter being read as referring to the conventional phenomena of differential attenuation for different polarizations, most often associated with, and created by, optical components of a system (i.e. non-transmission fiber components) that locally exhibit loss as a function of polarization.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/975,985 Page 11

Art Unit: 2633

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to N.

Curs whose telephone number is (571) 272-3028. The examiner can normally be reached on

M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached at (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of

a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to

the receptionist whose telephone number is (800) 786-9199.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600